

# Preserving measurability with Cohen iterations

*Radek Honzik*

Charles University, Department of Logic,  
Celetná 20, Praha 1, 116 42, Czech Republic  
radek.honzik@ff.cuni.cz

The work was mainly supported by FWF/GAČR grant I 1921-N25.  
A support of travel grant Mobility 7AMB15AT035 is also acknowledged.

**Abstract:** We describe a weak version of Laver indestructibility starting just with an  $H(\lambda)$ -hypermeasurable  $\kappa$  for some  $\lambda > \kappa^+$ , where “weaker” means that the indestructibility refers only to the Cohen forcing at  $\kappa$  of a certain length. A succinct version of this result is as follows: if  $\lambda$  is equal to  $\kappa^{+n}$  for some  $1 < n < \omega$ , then one can get a model  $V^*$  where  $\kappa$  is measurable, and its measurability is indestructible by  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \alpha)$  for any  $0 < \alpha < \kappa^{+n}$  (Theorem 2.8).

*Keywords:* Cohen forcing, hypermeasurability, measurability.

*AMS subject code classification:* 03E35, 03E55.

Date: December 20, 2016

## 1 Introduction

Assume  $\kappa$  is supercompact. In [9], Laver defined an iteration  $P$  of length  $\kappa$  such that in  $V[P]$ ,<sup>1</sup>  $\kappa$  is still supercompact and every further  $\kappa$ -directed closed forcing preserves the supercompactness of  $\kappa$  ( $P$  is often called the *Laver preparation*). We also say that  $\kappa$  is Laver-indestructible in  $V[P]$ . The proof of this indestructibility result is essentially based on two useful properties of a supercompact cardinal  $\kappa$  in  $V$ : (i) for every  $\lambda \geq \kappa$ , one

---

<sup>1</sup> $V[P]$  indicates a  $P$ -generic extension of  $V$  whenever it is not important to distinguish specific  $P$ -generic filters. For instance the statement “ $\varphi$  holds in  $V[P]$ ” means that  $\varphi$  holds in  $V[G]$  for every  $P$ -generic filter  $G$ .

can choose an elementary embedding  $j : V \rightarrow M$  with critical point  $\kappa$  such that  $M$  is closed under  $\lambda$ -sequences existing in  $V$ ; this closure is then used to find a *master condition* in  $M$  and proceed with a lifting argument which ensures that supercompactness is preserved,<sup>2</sup> (ii) there is a single function  $f : \kappa \rightarrow V_\kappa$  such that for every  $x \in V$ , one can choose an embedding  $j$  in (i) so that  $j(f)(\kappa) = x$  (this  $f$  is often called the *Laver function*).

A typical example of a  $\kappa$ -directed closed forcing is the Cohen forcing at  $\kappa$ , which we will denote by  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \alpha)$ ,<sup>3</sup> where  $\alpha$  is any ordinal larger than 0. The fact that over  $V[P]$ ,  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \alpha)$  preserves the measurability of  $\kappa$  is very useful when one wishes to use some large cardinal properties of  $\kappa$  in  $V[P][\text{Add}(\kappa, \alpha)]$  (see for instance [4] where a model with the tree property at  $\kappa^{++}$ ,  $\kappa$  strong limit singular with cofinality  $\omega$ , is constructed starting with a supercompact  $\kappa$ ).

A natural question is whether a ‘‘Laver-like’’ indestructibility is available also for smaller large cardinals. As it turns out, it is the property (i) above which is more important: it is known that for instance a strong cardinal<sup>4</sup>  $\kappa$  has the analogue of the Laver function, but it is not known whether it can be made indestructible under  $\kappa$ -directed closed forcings.<sup>5</sup>

In this short paper we use the idea of Woodin (as described in [2]) to argue that with a well-designed preparation it is possible to have a limited indestructibility of a  $H(\lambda)$ -hypermeasurable<sup>6</sup>  $\kappa$ ,  $\lambda$  regular,  $\lambda > \kappa^+$ ,

---

<sup>2</sup>Assume  $j : V \rightarrow M$  is an elementary embedding,  $P$  is a forcing notion,  $G$  is  $P$ -generic over  $V$ , and  $H$  is  $j(P)$ -generic over  $M$ . Then a sufficient condition for  $j$  to *lift*, i.e. a sufficient condition for the existence of  $j^+ : V[G] \rightarrow M[H]$  with  $j^+ \upharpoonright V = j$ , is that we have  $j''G \subseteq H$ . With supercompactness, we can often argue that  $j''G$  is a condition in  $M$  (a master condition), and  $H$  can then be built below this master condition. For more details, see [3].

<sup>3</sup>Formally speaking, conditions in  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \alpha)$  are partial functions of size  $< \kappa$  from  $\kappa \times \alpha$  to 2. The ordering is by reverse inclusion.

<sup>4</sup>An infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  is *strong* if for every  $\lambda \geq \kappa$  there is  $j : V \rightarrow M$  with  $H(\lambda) \subseteq M$ .

<sup>5</sup>A non-supercompact strong cardinal  $\kappa$  can be indestructible under  $\kappa$ -directed closed forcings by a method of [1], but  $\kappa$  needs to be supercompact in the ground model.

<sup>6</sup> $\kappa$  is  $H(\lambda)$ -*hypermeasurable* (also  $H(\lambda)$ -*strong*) if there is an elementary embedding  $j : V \rightarrow M$  with critical point  $\kappa$  such that  $j(\kappa) > \lambda$ ,  $H(\lambda) \subseteq M$ , and  $M$  is

in the sense that we can successively extend  $V \subseteq V^1 \subseteq V^*$  such that  $V^1$  preserves the initial degree of hypermeasurability of  $\kappa$ , and forcing over  $V^*$  with  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$  yields the measurability, in fact the hypermeasurability, of  $\kappa$  (Theorem 2.4). Under additional assumptions on  $\lambda$ , namely if  $\lambda = \kappa^{+n}$  for some  $1 < n < \omega$ , forcing with  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \alpha)$  over  $V^*$  for  $0 < \alpha \leq \lambda$  yields the measurability, in fact hypermeasurability, of  $\kappa$  (Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.8). Note that in  $V^*$ ,  $\kappa$  may actually stop being measurable<sup>7</sup> depending on the iteration  $P_\kappa$  which gives  $V^* = V^1[P_\kappa]$ ; compare the constructions in Theorem 2.6 and 2.8.

Although much weaker than the indestructibility of a supercompact  $\kappa$ , it may still be a useful property: without giving the details, it is possible to re-do the argument in [4] starting with a  $H(\lambda)$ -hypermeasurable cardinals, where  $\lambda$  is the least weakly compact above  $\kappa$ .

**Remark 1.1** We assume that the reader is familiar with the lifting arguments. The general reference is [3]; the more specific constructions used in the present paper are also given in [2].

## 2 Construction of the model

Assume GCH holds in the ground model  $V$ . We show that if  $\kappa$  is  $H(\lambda)$ -hypermeasurable for some regular  $\lambda > \kappa^+$ ,<sup>8</sup> then in some cofinality-preserving generic extension  $V^*$  of  $V$ , Cohen forcing  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$  yields the measurability of  $\kappa$  in  $V^*[\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)]$ . We further show that under some additional assumptions on  $\lambda$ , we can say more (see Section 2.3).

The model  $V^*$  is equal to  $V^1[G_\kappa]$ , where  $V^1$  prepares for the lifting with respect to the next extension to  $V^*$ , and moreover  $V^1$  preserves the  $H(\lambda)$ -hypermeasurability of  $\kappa$  (Section 2.1). The model  $V^1$  is then

---

closed under  $\kappa$ -sequences in  $V$ .

<sup>7</sup>If in  $V^*$ ,  $\kappa$  is not measurable, and it is measurable again in  $V^*[\text{Add}(\kappa, \alpha)]$  (for a specific  $\alpha$ ), it is more appropriate to call this step a “resurrection” of the measurability of  $\kappa$ .

<sup>8</sup>We are interested in models where GCH fails at  $\kappa$ , so we focus on  $\lambda$ 's such that  $\lambda > \kappa^+$ .

extended to  $V^1[G_\kappa] = V^*$  by a standard reverse-Easton iteration of length  $\kappa$  (Section 2.2).

## 2.1 Stage 1: the model $V^1$

Let us fix  $j : V \rightarrow M$  which witnesses the  $H(\lambda)$ -hypermeasurability of  $\kappa$ : in particular, the critical point of  $j$  is  $\kappa$ ,  $M$  is closed under  $\kappa$ -sequences in  $V$  and  $H(\lambda)$  is a subset of  $M$ . Let  $U$  be the normal measure derived from  $j$ , and let  $i : V \rightarrow N$  be the ultrapower embedding generated by  $U$ .

Using a fast-function forcing of Woodin, we can assume that there is  $f : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$  in  $V$  such that  $j(f)(\kappa) = \lambda$ . Let us denote  $f(\alpha)$  by  $\lambda_\alpha$ ; let  $C(f)$  denote the closed unbounded set of the closure points of  $f$ : if  $\alpha \in C(f)$ , then for all  $\beta < \alpha$ ,  $f(\beta) < \alpha$ .

We plan to extend  $V$  by forcing to some  $V^1$  with the same cofinalities, so that the following hold in  $V^1$ :

- (A) There is  $j^1 : V^1 \rightarrow M^1$  with critical point  $\kappa$  such that  $H(\lambda) \subseteq M^1$  and  $j^1$  restricted to  $V$  is the original  $j$ .
- (B) If  $U^1$  is the normal measure derived from  $j^1$ , and  $i^1 : V^1 \rightarrow N^1$  is the ultrapower embedding for  $U^1$ , then in  $V^1$  there is  $g$  which is  $i^1(P)$ -generic over  $N^1$ , where  $P = \text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)^{V^1}$ .  $i^1$  restricted to  $V$  is the original  $i$ .

The model  $V^1$  is obtained as follows. Define  $P^1$  in  $V$  as an Easton-supported iteration

$$\langle (P_\alpha^1, \dot{Q}_\alpha) \mid \alpha < \kappa, \alpha \text{ is measurable}, \alpha \in C(f) \rangle * \dot{Q}_\kappa,$$

where

- $\dot{Q}_\alpha$  is chosen generically<sup>9</sup> amongst all forcings  $R$  which satisfy the following: (a) there exists in  $V[P_\alpha^1]$  a normal measure  $U_\alpha$  on  $\alpha$  such

---

<sup>9</sup> If the forcing is chosen “generically”, the related construction is often called the “lottery sum of the relevant forcing notions”; see [7] for more information.

that the derived ultrapower embedding  $i_\alpha$  satisfies

$$i_\alpha : V[P_\alpha^1] \rightarrow N_\alpha[i(P_\alpha^1)]$$

for some  $N_\alpha$ , (b)  $R$  is the forcing

$$(2.1) \quad i_\alpha(\text{Add}(\alpha, \lambda_\alpha)^{V[P_\alpha^1]}).$$

If the above is not possible, let  $\dot{Q}_\alpha$  be  $\{1\}$ .

- Suppose  $i$  lifts in  $V[P_\kappa^1]$  to

$$i_\kappa : V[P_\kappa^1] \rightarrow N[i_\kappa(P_\kappa^1)].$$

Define  $\dot{Q}_\kappa$  to be equal to

$$(2.2) \quad i_\kappa(\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)^{V[P_\kappa^1]}).$$

If  $i$  does not lift to  $i_\kappa$  in  $V[P_\kappa^1]$ , set  $\dot{Q}_\kappa = \{1\}$ .

The following Lemma is implicit in [5]:

**Lemma 2.1**  *$P^1$  preserves cofinalities.*

PROOF. The following suffices: Suppose  $P_\alpha^1$ ,  $\alpha \leq \kappa$ , preserves regularity of cardinals and the only violation of GCH below  $\alpha$  is that for every  $\beta < \alpha$ , where the forcing is non-trivial, we have  $2^{\beta^+} = \lambda_\beta$  (this is without loss of generality). We show that this will hold for  $P_{\alpha+1}^1$ .

Suppose  $\dot{Q}_\alpha$  is non-trivial and let  $G_\alpha$  be  $P_\alpha^1$ -generic. Then in  $V[G_\alpha]$ ,  $i_\alpha : V[G_\alpha] \rightarrow N_\alpha[i_\alpha(G_\alpha)]$  is a measure ultrapower embedding. It suffices to show that  $R = (\dot{Q}_\alpha)^{G_\alpha}$  is  $\alpha^+$ -closed and  $\alpha^{++}$ -Knaster in  $V[G_\alpha]$ . Closure is obvious because  $N_\alpha[i_\alpha(G_\alpha)]$  is closed under  $\alpha$ -sequences in  $V[G_\alpha]$  and  $R$  is  $\alpha^+$ -closed in  $N_\alpha[i_\alpha(G_\alpha)]$ . Regarding the Knaster property, notice that every element of  $R$  can be identified with the equivalence class of some function  $f : \alpha \rightarrow \text{Add}(\alpha, \lambda_\alpha)$ . For  $f, g : \alpha \rightarrow \text{Add}(\alpha, \lambda_\alpha)$ , set  $f < g$  if for all  $i < \alpha$ ,  $f(i) < g(i)$ ; it suffices to check that the ordering  $<$  on these  $f$ 's is  $\alpha^{++}$ -Knaster. Let  $A$  be a maximal antichain in this ordering; take an elementary substructure  $\bar{M}$  in some large enough  $H(\theta)$  of  $V[G_\alpha]$  which contains all relevant data, has size  $\alpha^+$  and is closed under  $\alpha$ -sequences. Then it is not hard to check that  $A \cap \bar{M}$  is maximal in the ordering (and therefore  $A \subseteq \bar{M}$ ), and therefore has size at most  $\alpha^+$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2**  $P^1$  is non-trivial and forces (A) and (B) on page 4.

PROOF. Let  $G_\kappa * g$  be  $P_\kappa^1 * \dot{Q}_\kappa$ -generic over  $V$ ; let  $R$  denote for the purposes of this item the forcing  $(\dot{Q}_\kappa)^{V[G_\kappa]}$ . It suffices to show that the stage  $\kappa$  is non-trivial, i.e.  $R$  is not  $\{1\}$  (as the argument carries over to all  $\alpha < \kappa$  which are non-trivial). This follows immediately by the standard lifting methods, as  $i$  lifts to  $V[G_\kappa]$ , witnessing the measurability  $\kappa$  in  $V[P_\kappa]$ .<sup>10</sup>

(A). We show that for the right choice of  $j(G_\kappa * g)$ ,  $j$  lifts to  $j^1$  as required. In  $M[G_\kappa]$ , work below a condition which ensures that at stage  $\kappa$ ,  $j(P_\kappa^1)$  chooses the forcing  $R$ .<sup>11</sup> Then the lifting is carried out using the standard methods.

(B). In  $V[G_\kappa]$ ,  $R$  is a  $\kappa^+$ -closed forcing (and is an image of  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$  under the embedding  $i$  lifted to  $V[G_\kappa]$ ), and by a Woodin lemma (see below),  $g$  is actually  $R$  generic over  $N[i^1(G * g)]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3 (Woodin)** Let  $\kappa$  be a measurable cardinal,  $U$  some measure on  $\kappa$ , and  $i : V \rightarrow N$  the ultrapower embedding by  $U$ . Let  $P$  be a  $\kappa$ -closed partial ordering and let  $Q = i(P)$ . Let  $g$  be  $Q$ -generic over  $V$  and transfer it along  $i$  to get the lifting  $i^1 : V[g] \rightarrow N[i^1(g)]$ . Then  $g$  is in fact  $Q$ -generic over  $N[i^1(g)]$ .

For proof, see [2], Fact 2, page 7.

## 2.2 Stage 2: the model $V^*$

Assume that  $\kappa$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $f$ , and  $V^1$ ,  $N^1$ ,  $i^1$ ,  $j^1$  are as in Section 2.1.

We will prove the following theorem:

<sup>10</sup>This uses the fact that  $i(P_\kappa^1)_\kappa = P_\kappa$  forces the tail iteration of  $i(P_\kappa^1)$  in the interval  $[\kappa, i(\kappa))$  to be  $\kappa^+$ -closed in  $N[G_\kappa]$  (and hence also in  $V[G_\kappa]$ ); moreover the number of antichains in the tail iteration is just  $\kappa^+$  in  $V[G_\kappa]$ .

<sup>11</sup>Note that in  $M[G_\kappa]$ ,  $\kappa$  is measurable and the measure corresponding to  $i_\kappa : V[G_\kappa] \rightarrow N[i_\kappa(G_\kappa)]$  is also an element of  $M[G_\kappa]$  since  $H(\lambda)$  of  $V[G_\kappa]$  is included in  $M[G_\kappa]$ ; also note that in  $M[G_\kappa]$ ,  $\lambda_\kappa = j(f)(\kappa) = \lambda$ , and therefore  $R$  is an element of  $M[G_\kappa]$  and can legitimately be considered as  $\dot{Q}_\kappa$  for the iteration  $j(P_\kappa^1)$ .

**Theorem 2.4** *There is a forcing iteration  $P_\kappa$  defined in  $V^1$  such that*

$$V^1[P_\kappa][\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)] \models \kappa \text{ is measurable,}$$

*where  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$  is defined in  $V[P_\kappa]$ . Hence the model  $V^1[P_\kappa] = V^*$  is as desired: the measurability of  $\kappa$  is obtained by  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$ .*

PROOF. Define  $P_\kappa$  to be the following Easton-supported iteration:

$$P_\kappa = \langle (P_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\alpha) \mid \alpha < \kappa \text{ is measurable, } \alpha \in C(f) \rangle,$$

where  $\dot{Q}_\alpha$  denotes the forcing  $\text{Add}(\alpha, \lambda_\alpha)$ , and  $\lambda_\alpha$  equals  $f(\alpha)$ .

The proof uses the usual surgery argument (see [3]) with the following lemma which allows us to use the generic filter  $g$  added in  $V^1$  (for the  $i^1$ -image of  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)^{V^1}$ ) in the model  $V^1[P_\kappa]$ .

We first show a general lemma (for more details, see Fact 2 in [2]).

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $S$  be a  $\kappa$ -cc forcing notion of cardinality  $\kappa$ ,  $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$ . Then for any  $\lambda$ , the term forcing  $Q_\lambda = \text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)^{V[S]}/S$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$ .*

PROOF. Recall that  $Q_\lambda$  is the term forcing defined as follows: the elements of  $Q_\lambda$  are names  $\tau$  such that  $\tau$  is an  $S$ -name and it is forced by  $1_S$  to be in  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$  of  $V[S]$ . The ordering is  $\tau \leq \sigma \leftrightarrow 1_S \Vdash \tau \leq \sigma$ .

If  $\beta$  is a cardinal, let  $T_\beta$  denote the partial order with a top element and  $\beta$  incomparable extensions (which we identify with the elements in  $\beta$ ). Recall first that  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$  is isomorphic to the product of  $\lambda$  copies of  $T_2$  with support  $< \kappa$ . Using the  $\kappa$ -cc of  $S$ , one can show that  $Q_\lambda$  is the product of  $\lambda$  copies of  $T_2/S$  with  $< \kappa$ -support. Further,  $T_2/S$  is isomorphic to  $T_\kappa$  since the size of  $S$  is  $\kappa$ : thus  $Q_\lambda$  is isomorphic to a product of  $\lambda$  copies of  $T_\kappa$  with  $< \kappa$ -support, and therefore isomorphic to  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$ .  $\square$

Let  $G_\kappa * h$  be  $P_\kappa * \text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)^{V^1[P_\kappa]}$ -generic.

By Lemma 2.5 applied to  $S = P_\kappa$ ,  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)^{V^1[P_\kappa]}/P_\kappa$  is isomorphic in  $V^1$  to  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$  of  $V^1$ . It follows that after lifting to

$$i^1 : V^1[G_\kappa] \rightarrow N^1[i(G_\kappa)]$$

in  $V^1[G_\kappa * h]$ , the generic filter  $g$  constructed at stage 1 is (or more precisely, yields a generic which is)

$$(2.3) \quad i^1(\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda))\text{-generic over } N^1[i(G_\kappa)].$$

This suffices to proceed with the usual surgery argument (for details see [2]) and lift the embedding  $j^1$  to  $V^1[G_\kappa * h]$ , showing that  $\kappa$  is measurable in  $V^1[G_\kappa * h]$ .  $\square$

### 2.3 Some generalizations

It seems natural to extend Theorem 2.4 and have that the measurability of  $\kappa$  ensured by  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \alpha)$  for any ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq \lambda$ . We will show that this can be achieved with some additional assumptions on  $\lambda$ . For concreteness, we will focus on the example where  $\lambda = \kappa^{+n}$  for some  $1 < n < \omega$ .

First, in Theorem 2.6, we provide a standard construction which actually forces  $\kappa$  to stop being measurable in  $V^*$ ; the measurability of  $\kappa$  is then resurrected by  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \alpha)$  for any  $\kappa^+ \leq \alpha \leq \kappa^{+n}$ .

**Theorem 2.6** (*GCH*) *Let  $1 < n < \omega$  be fixed and assume  $\kappa$  is  $H(\kappa^{+n})$ -hypermeasurable. Then there is an iteration  $P^1$  such that in  $V[P^1] = V^1$ ,  $\kappa$  is still  $\kappa^{+n}$ -hypermeasurable, and for some reverse Easton iteration  $P_\kappa$  defined in  $V^1$ ,  $\kappa$  stops being measurable in  $V^* = V^1[P_\kappa]$ . In  $V^*$ , the measurability of  $\kappa$  is resurrected by Cohen forcing  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \alpha)$  for any  $\kappa^+ \leq \alpha \leq \kappa^{+n}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $j$  witness the  $H(\kappa^{+n})$ -hypermeasurability of  $\kappa$ , and let  $i$  be a normal embedding generated by the normal measure  $U$  derived from  $j$ . Recall Lemma 3.2 from [6] which implies that if  $i : V \rightarrow N$  is an embedding generated by a normal measure on  $\kappa$ , then

$$(2.4) \quad \text{Add}(i(\kappa), i(\kappa)^{+n})^N \cong \text{Add}(\kappa^+, \kappa^{+n}).$$

This allows us to define  $P^1$  more simply as follows: Define  $P^1$  is an Easton-supported iteration

$$\langle (P_\alpha^1, \dot{Q}_\alpha) \mid \alpha < \kappa, \alpha \text{ is inaccessible} \rangle * \dot{Q}_\kappa,$$



where for an inaccessible  $\beta \leq \kappa$ ,  $\dot{Q}_\beta$  is  $\text{Add}(\beta^+, \beta^{+n})$  of  $V[P_\beta^1]$ . Lemma 2.1 now follows easily since  $\dot{Q}_\beta$  is just a Cohen forcing; Lemma 2.2 is also easier since is now a standard lifting argument (it is not necessary to work below a specific condition); the generic  $g$  of (B) in Lemma 2.2 is available by (2.4).

Let  $G_\kappa * g$  be  $P_\kappa^1 * \dot{Q}_\kappa$ -generic over  $V$ , and denote  $V[G_\kappa * g]$  by  $V^1$ . Let  $j^1$  and  $i^1$  be the liftings of  $j$  and  $i$ , respectively, as in Lemma 2.2.

In  $V^1$  define  $P_\kappa$  as an Easton supported iteration:

$$(2.5) \quad P_\kappa = \langle (P_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\alpha) \mid \alpha < \kappa \text{ is inaccessible} \rangle,$$

where  $\dot{Q}_\alpha$  denotes the forcing  $\text{Add}(\alpha, \alpha^{+n})$  of  $V^1[P_\alpha]$ .

First note that  $\kappa$  stops being measurable in  $V^* = V^1[P_\kappa]$  by the application of the gap-forcing theorem of [8]: a hypothetical embedding  $k$  with critical point  $\kappa$  found in  $V^*$  could be written as an embedding from  $V^1[P_\kappa]$  to some  $N[j(P_\kappa)]$ , with  $N \subseteq V^1$ ; in particular a generic filter for  $j(P_\kappa)$  would need to add a non-trivial generic filter at stage  $\kappa$  which cannot be found in  $V^1[P_\kappa]$ ; contradiction.

The rest of the Theorem follows from the following Claim:

**Claim 2.7** *Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal,  $\kappa^+ \leq \alpha \leq \kappa^{+n}$ . Then  $\kappa$  is still measurable in  $V^1[P_\kappa][\text{Add}(\kappa, \alpha)]$ , where  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \alpha)$  is defined in  $V^1[P_\kappa]$ .*

PROOF. It suffices to show the Claim for  $\alpha$ 's which are cardinals. So assume  $\kappa^{+m} = |\alpha|$  for some  $1 \leq m \leq n$ . Choose in  $V^1$  an embedding  $j_m : V^1 \rightarrow M_m$  which witnesses the  $H(\kappa^{+m})$ -hypermeasurability of  $\kappa$  with  $\kappa^{+m} < j_m(\kappa) < \kappa^{+m+1}$  (this is possible since  $2^\kappa = \kappa^+$  in  $V^1$ ). By the definition of  $P_\kappa$ ,  $j_m(P_\kappa)(\kappa)$  is equal to  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^{+n})^{M_m[P_\kappa]}$ . Since  $(\kappa^{+n})^{M_m}$  has size  $\kappa^{+m}$  in  $V^1$ ,  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^{+m})^{V^1[P_\kappa]}$  is equivalent to  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^{+n})^{M_m[P_\kappa]}$ , and therefore the generic for  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^{+m})^{V^1[P_\kappa]}$  provides a generic for  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^{+n})^{M_m[P_\kappa]}$ . The argument is then finished as in Theorem 2.4, using the fact that the generic  $g$  for  $i^1(\text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^{+n}))$  is also generic for  $i^1(\text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^{+m}))$ .  $\square$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.6.  $\square$

Note that the method in the proof of Theorem 2.6 does not work for the case of  $\alpha$  smaller than  $\kappa^+$ : every elementary embedding  $k : V^1 \rightarrow M$  with critical point  $\kappa$  sends  $\kappa$  above  $\kappa^+$  and therefore  $\kappa^+ \leq |\kappa^{+n}|$  in  $V^1$ ; thus  $k(P_\kappa)(\kappa)$ , which is  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^{+n})^{M[P_\kappa]}$ , is in  $V^1[P_\kappa]$  equivalent to the Cohen forcing at  $\kappa$  of length at least  $\kappa^+$ . It follows that to lift the embedding, we need to force over  $V^1[P_\kappa]$  with a Cohen forcing at  $\kappa$  of length at least  $\kappa^+$ . If  $\alpha < \kappa^+$ , this condition is not satisfied. We remedy this by a more complicated construction in Theorem 2.8.

**Theorem 2.8** *With the assumptions and the notation as in Theorem 2.6, one can define  $P_\kappa$  so that  $\kappa$  is measurable in  $V^*$ , and its measurability is indestructible by  $\text{Add}(\kappa, \alpha)$  for any  $0 < \alpha \leq \kappa^{+n}$ .*

PROOF. Modify the definition of  $P_\kappa$  in (2.5) so that at an inaccessible  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $\dot{Q}_\alpha$  is chosen generically<sup>12</sup> amongst the following forcings:  $\{1\}$  (the trivial forcing), and  $\text{Add}(\alpha, \alpha^{+k})$ , for  $0 \leq k \leq m$ .

Then one can argue that  $\kappa$  is still measurable in  $V^*$ : while lifting the embedding  $j^1$ , it suffices to work below a condition in  $j^1(P_\kappa)$  which chooses the trivial forcing  $\{1\}$  at stage  $\kappa$ .

To argue that for any  $0 < \alpha \leq \kappa^{+n}$ ,  $\kappa$  is still measurable in  $V^*[\text{Add}(\kappa, \alpha)]$ , work below a condition in  $j^1(P_\kappa)$  which chooses the right forcing at stage  $\kappa$ . □

## 2.4 Open questions

Is it possible to get an analogue of Theorem 2.8 for any  $\lambda > \kappa$ ? It might be easier to show this if  $\lambda$  has a “nice definition” (such as the first inaccessible above  $\kappa$ , etc.)

---

<sup>12</sup>The “lottery preparation”; see Footnote 9.

**References**

- [1] Arthur W. Apter. Strong Cardinals can be Fully Laver Indestructible. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, 48:499–507, 2002.
- [2] James Cummings. A model in which GCH holds at successors but fails at limits. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 329(1):1–39, 1992.
- [3] James Cummings. Iterated forcing and elementary embeddings. In Matthew Foreman and Akihiro Kanamori, editors, *Handbook of Set Theory*, volume 2. Springer, 2010.
- [4] James Cummings and Matthew Foreman. The tree property. *Advances in Mathematics*, 133:1–32, 1998.
- [5] Sy-David Friedman, Radek Honzik, and Šárka Stejskalová. The tree property with hypermeasurability (working title). Under preparation.
- [6] Moti Gitik and Carmi Merimovich. Possible values for  $2^{\aleph_n}$  and  $2^{\aleph_\omega}$ . *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 90:193–241, 1997.
- [7] Joel David Hamkins. The lottery preparation. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 101:103–146, 2000.
- [8] Joel David Hamkins. Gap forcing. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 125, 2001.
- [9] Richard Laver. Making the supercompactness of  $\kappa$  indestructible under  $\kappa$  directed closed forcing. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 29(4):385–388, 1978.